

PRE-JOB SAFETY MEETING SCORECARD

Guidance on using this scorecard and rating a pre-job safety meeting is provided on the reverse side.

	STATEMENT	WEIGHT	TRUE = 1 FALSE = 0	WEIGHTED SCORE
	EXAMPLE	2	0	2*0=0
1	Everyone performing the job was present at the meeting.	4		
2	The discussion was held as close to the work as reasonably possible.	4		
3	Work steps required to complete the job were identified and discussed.	4		
4	Necessary tools and equipment were identified and discussed.	3		
5	Hazards associated with the job were identified and discussed.	5		
6	Hazards posed by the environment or surrounding work were identified and discussed.	4		
7	Controls for each hazard were identified and discussed.	5		
8	All life-threatening hazards and their controls were emphasized.	5		
9	Hazards and necessary controls were documented.	3		
10	All required permits were obtained and reviewed.	3		
11	Potential changes were identified and discussed and a plan to address change was created.	4		
12	The importance of stopping work to address an unexpected change, disruption, or hazard was discussed.	4		
13	Emergency response plans were reviewed, including individual roles and responsibilities.	3		
14	Crew actively demonstrated their understanding of their work steps, hazards, and controls.	3		
15	All crew members participated in the discussion by identifying hazards and controls.	3		
	TOTAL WEIGHTED SCORE (sum weighted scores for items 1 through 15)			



PRE-JOB SAFETY MEETING SCORECARD

This page provides <u>example</u> characteristics of a high-quality pre-job safety meeting. This is not a comprehensive list and the observer should use their best judgement when scoring.

1	Everyone performing the job was present at the meeting.	 Everyone performing the planned task was present for the entire pre-job meeting. If working alone, plans were discussed with a manager, mentor, or co-worker.
2	The discussion was held as close to the work as reasonably possible.	 Meeting was held at or near where the work will be performed. Workspace was reviewed by the crew before starting the meeting.
3	Work steps required to complete the job were identified and discussed.	 Crew identified and discussed the major work steps. Facilitator confirmed the major work steps and plans to address changes and provided corrections if necessary.
4	Necessary tools and equipment were identified and discussed.	 Crew identified and discussed tools and equipment needed to safely complete the work. Facilitator confirmed that the crew had all necessary tools and equipment.
5	Hazards associated with the job were identified and discussed.	☐ Crew identified and discussed hazards associated with their tasks.
6	Hazards posed by the environment or surrounding work were identified and discussed.	 Crew identified and discussed the hazards created by other crews. Crew discussed how hazards they create may impact other crews. Crew identified and discussed hazards posed by the environment.
7	Controls for each hazard were identified and discussed.	□ Crew identified and discussed controls or management strategies associated with each identified hazard.
8	All life-threatening hazards and their controls were emphasized.	 Crew emphasized <u>all</u> hazards with the potential to cause serious injury or fatality. Crew emphasized <u>all</u> controls for all hazards with potential to cause serious injury or fatality.
9	Hazards and necessary controls were documented.	 Crew completed required pre-job documentation. Facilitator confirmed that pre-job documentation is readily accessible.
10	All required permits were obtained and reviewed.	☐ Facilitator confirmed that all required work permits were obtained and readily accessible.
11	Potential changes were identified and discussed and a plan to address change was created.	 Crew identified and discussed possible changes to the work and work environment. Crew discussed the impacts of those changes on the safety.
12	The importance of stopping work to address an unexpected change, disruption, or hazard was discussed.	 Crew identified and discussed potential work conditions to use Stop Work Authority. Crew discussed the protocol for using Stop Work Authority.
13	Emergency response plans were reviewed, including individual roles and responsibilities.	 Crew identified potential emergencies. Crew discussed the protocol to address emergencies. Crew discussed individual roles and responsibilities during an emergency.
14	Crew actively demonstrated their understanding of their work steps, hazards, and controls.	 Crew verbally acknowledged the hazards and controls. Crew demonstrated that they understand the safety expectations. Facilitator confirmed that the crew members understand their roles and responsibilities.
15	All crew members participated in the discussion by identifying hazards and controls.	☐ Crew was active in the conversation by identifying hazards and controls, voicing comments or concerns, and providing specific details.